

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 STATE 014756
ORIGIN OES-07

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 ISO-00 ACDA-12 SS-15 DOE-11 SOE-02
EB-08 SIG-01 CIAE-00 INR-07 IO-13 L-03 NSAE-00
NSC-05 NRC-05 DODE-00 SP-02 PM-05 /109 R

DRAFTED BY OES/NET/EIC:DHOYLE

APPROVED BY OES/NET/EIC:DHOYLE

ACDA - F BUCHOLTZ/MARSHALL

S/AS - R KELLEY

OES/NET - L NOSENZO (SUBS)

L/OES - R SLOAN (SUBS)

EUR/RPE - B SALISBURY (SUBS)

T/D - D WELCH (SUBS)

OES/NET/NEP - R DEMING (SUBS)

DOE - H BENGELSDORF (SUBS)

-----059016 191528Z /43

P 191450Z JAN 78

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO AMEMBASSY BRUSSELS PRIORITY

INFO AMEMBASSY BONN PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY COPENHAGEN PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY DUBLIN PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY LONDON PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY LUXEMBOURG PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY PARIS PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY ROME PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY THE HAGUE PRIORITY

C O N F I D E N T I A L STATE 014756

USEEC ALSO FOR CAPITAL

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: TECH, ENGR, EC

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 STATE 014756

SUBJECT: RENEGOTIATION OF U.S.-EURATOM AGREEMENT

REFS: A. BRUSSELS 18692; B. BRUSSELS 18689; C. STATE
278504; D. STATE 246974; E. STATE 007607

1. WITH RESPECT TO EC, WE BELIEVE THAT THE MOST IMMEDIATE
PRIORITY UNDER PENDING U.S. NON-PROLIFERATION LEGISLATION

IS TO GET EC AGREEMENT TO BEGIN THE PROCESS OF "NEGOTIATIONS," RATHER THAN ATTEMPT AT THIS TIME TO DEAL WITH THE SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES WHICH WILL ARISE ONCE U.S.-EC DISCUSSIONS BEGIN. WE ARE THEREFORE CONCERNED THAT GOB NON-PAPER (REFTEL B) APPEARS TO CONCENTRATE ON ISSUES FARTHER DOWN THE ROAD AND TO DOWNPLAY THE NEAR-TERM PROBLEM -- AVOIDING A PROHIBITION ON THE ISSUANCE OF NRC LICENSES FOR EXPORTS TO THE COMMUNITY IMMEDIATELY UPON ENACTMENT OF THE LEGISLATION. SUCH A RESULT -- WHICH NEITHER WE NOR THE EUROPEANS WANT -- CANNOT BE AVOIDED UNLESS WE HAVE AUTHORIZATION FROM THE COMMUNITY TO INITIATE DISCUSSIONS CONCERNING POSSIBLE MODIFICATIONS OF THE U.S.-EC COOPERATION AGREEMENTS, WITHOUT PREJUDICE TO THEIR OUTCOME.

2. SINCE LAST FALL, THERE HAS BEEN GENERAL AGREEMENT BETWEEN CONGRESS AND THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH REGARDING THE PROVISIONS OF THE LEGISLATION FOR EXPORT CRITERIA AND CRITERIA FOR NEW AGREEMENTS. THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH HAD A DIFFICULT TIME PRESERVING THE EXEMPTIONS FOR EURATOM AS THERE WAS CONSIDERABLE PRESSURE TO CHANGE THE LEGISLATION, AS ORIGINALLY SUBMITTED BY THE PRESIDENT, TO TREAT THE EC NO DIFFERENTLY THAN OUR OTHER TRADING PARTNERS. ATTEMPTING TO ALTER THE LEGISLATION AT THIS TIME TO OBTAIN FURTHER CONCESSIONS FOR THE EC, BESIDES BEING MET WITH GENERAL OPPOSITION WHICH WE COULD NOT LIKELY OVER-
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 STATE 014756

COME, WOULD, MORE SERIOUSLY, HAVE THE EFFECT OF REOPENING THE QUESTION OF EXCEPTIONS FOR EURATOM. WE BELIEVE THERE IS A VERY HIGH PROBABILITY THAT THE LEGISLATION WILL BE ENACTED BY EARLY SPRING; POSSIBLY WITHIN THE NEXT THIRTY TO SIXTY DAYS. IF THE COMMUNITY SHOULD CHOOSE TO WAIT UNTIL THE U.S. LEGISLATION ACTUALLY COMES INTO FORCE BEFORE RESPONDING TO OUR REQUEST TO AGREE TO BEGIN TALKS, WE WOULD AT LEAST HOPE THAT IT WILL BEGIN TO WORK NOW TOWARD INTERNAL RESOLUTION OF THE NATURE OF ITS ULTIMATE RESPONSE SO THAT IT CAN BE GIVEN EXPEDITIOUSLY WHEN THE LEGISLATION BECOMES LAW.

3. BEGIN FYI: WE HAVE TALKED WITH EC DELEGATION IN WASHINGTON IN AN EFFORT TO DEVELOP INFORMALLY LANGUAGE FOR AN EC RESPONSE WHICH WOULD MEET OUR LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS BUT AT SAME TIME RECOGNIZE THE COMMUNITY'S CONCERNs, (TEXT OF THAT INFORMAL EFFORT TRANSMITTED REFTEL E). END FYI.

4. BECAUSE OF OUR BELIEF THAT THE TOP PRIORITY IS TO GET THE COMMUNITY'S AGREEMENT ON THE PROCEDURAL ISSUE OF OPENING THE DISCUSSION PROCESS, WE FEEL IT WOULD BE PRE-

MATURE FOR US TO ATTEMPT NOW A SUBSTANTIVE POINT-BY-POINT
RESPONSE TO THE BELGIAN NON-PAPER. CHRISTOPHER

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptoning: X
Capture Date: 01 jan 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: AGREEMENTS, ENERGY, NEGOTIATIONS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 19 jan 1978
Decaption Date: 01 jan 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 20 Mar 2014
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1978STATE014756
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: DHOYLE
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: N/A
Expiration:
Film Number: D780028-1112
Format: TEL
From: STATE
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1978/newtext/t19780144/aaaablcstel
Line Count: 118
Litigation Code IDs:
Litigation Codes:
Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Message ID: 2fe23be2-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Office: ORIGIN OES
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: 77 BRUSSELS 18692, 77 BRUSSELS 18689, 77 STATE 278504
Retention: 0
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 17 may 2005
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review Media Identifier:
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
SAS ID: 3746729
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: RENEGOTIATION OF U.S.-EURATOM AGREEMENT
TAGS: TECH, ENRG, US, EEC, EURATOM
To: BRUSSELS USEEC
Type: TE
vdkvgwkey: odbc://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS_Docs/2fe23be2-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Review Markings:
Sheryl P. Walter
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
20 Mar 2014
Markings: Sheryl P. Walter Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 20 Mar 2014